PRE AND POST COUNSELING REGULAR MEDICAL VISITS

- a) Number of medical visits precounseling x = 3.30 (s.d.=2.14)
- b) Number of medical visits postcounseling x = 1.91 (s.d. = 1.98) Is this a significant reduction?

Patients who attended a counseling visit had a reduction in visits. Control group issue: If this was due to random chance, why would it correlate with the counseling clinic visit? Maybe we should look at the different ethnic and diagnostic groups. Is it likely that significant reductions in utilization would occur in all ethnic groups, across all diagnoses, after the intervention has occurred? Also is it never possible to argue that the patients are their own controls?

However, the more counseling clinics the patient attended, the more postcounseling regular medical visits they made. Thus, during the process of counseling, they were simultaneously making more medical visits. This might have been due to the severity or complexity of the patient condition. Partial confirmation for this hypothesis is the correlation of medication with utilization, although this seems more pronounced BEFORE rather than after counseling. Further, there was no difference in utilization patterns by diagnosis, despite the fact that adjustment disorder is generally considered a less serious diagnosis than depression or anxiety disorder. However, the explanation here could be the lack of resident skill in making an accurate diagnosis.

AGE

1) Older patients tended to make more regular medical visits after receiving counseling. They were somewhat, but not significantly, more likely to make more regular visits precounseling as well.

MEDICATION

who Patients psychotropic were on drugs (primarily antidepressants) were significantly more likely to make more regular medical visits precounseling; and somewhat, but significantly, more likely make to moreregular visits antidepressants postcounseling as well. Patients on significantly more likely to make more regular medical visits both pre and post counseling.

COUNSELING

3) The more counseling a patient received, the more frequently they made regular medical visits after the initial counseling encounter. Number of counseling clinics was also correlated with total number of visits, probably because number of cc visits contributed to this calculation.

ETHNIC DIFFERENCES

- 4) Anglo and Vietnamese patients were significantly older than Latino patients.
- 5) Anglo patients made significantly more regular medical visits after the first counseling session.

Would it make any sense to drop the Anglos and just consider

correlations, then basically all we can say is this: Older patients tend to make more visits, patients on psychotropic medications make more visits, and patients in counseling make more visits (only after counseling has begun). This seems pretty thin. from the ANOVAs included that neither ethnicity nor diagnosis was related to any utilization measures. Maybe we could make the point that although frequency of regular visits decreased, the higher the number of counseling visits, the higher the medical visits. this interpretation, counseling visits might improve patient continuity. What I worry about is that we're just saying that sicker patients (older, on meds, needing more counseling) go to the doctor more often. One mitigating factor against this self-evident conclusion is that there was no difference in utilization based on diagnosis; in other words, it was NOT the case that more psychologically distressed patients were seeking medical attention more often.

this as an immigrant sample, since there are few important differences between the Latinos and Vietnamese? Maybe the difference in age would be a problem, since age is correlated with increased utilization.

DIAGNOSTIC DIFFERENCES

6) There are no differences among diagnostic groups in terms of number of counseling visits or utilization patterns.

Do we know for any of the diagnostic groups whether the reduction pre and post was significant? (ie., for depression, the x precounseling = 3.12, the x postcounseling = 1.68: is this a significant reduction?)

INTERACTION EFFECTS

- 7) Latino patients with depression made more medical visits after first counseling; Latino patients with adjustment disorder made fewer medical visits after first counseling (Is this the proper interpretation of the figure?)
- 8) Non-Latino patients with adjustment disorders made more medical visits after first counseling; Non-Latino patients with depression made fewer visits after first counseling

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Regression analysis equation that entered age, medication, counseling visits, ethnicity, and diagnosis (?correct?) was not significant.

Lee, I've had a chance to review the material you sent me, and I'm afraid it's a discouraging picture. Let me clarify a few points, to make sure I understand the situation correctly.

- 1) There was a definite reduction in regular medical (and UC) visits after the introduction of counseling. However, we must regard this as a meaningless artifact because a) we had no control group b) it might be attributable to maturational processes. I suppose one solution would be to find a like number of patients and match them on age, ethnicity (by the way, do we have any information on the medical diagnoses of these patients? I can't find this) etc., use 3 visits as a cut-off (this being more or less the mean number of precounseling visits), then calculate how many visits were made for the remainder of the year. It would be a nightmare, however, to try to locate charts since the clinic has moved.
- 2) The only way to accurately measure predictors of the reduction in visits is using a regression analysis. However, after cleaning up the existing data set, we are left with a nonsignificant regression equation. Do you think it would make any difference if we eliminated either the Anglos or both the Anglos and the Vietnamese and restricted the sample to Latinos (or Latinos and Vietnamese, since there doesn't seem to be too much difference between them except for age, which might be a problem).
- 3) If we stick with the data as presented in the Spearman

PATIENT SATISFACTION QUESTIONNAIRE (N = 88)

42 subjects Spanish-speaking (47.7%)
46 subjects Faylish-speaking (52.3%)

1. Satisfaction with visit:

Little Bit: 2.27% Somehwat: 13.6% Satisfied: 42%

Very Satisfied: 40.9%

2. Did doctor care about you?

Somewhat: 3.4%

Cared: 42%

Cared a Great Deal: 54.5%

3. Do you think talking with doctor will help your problem?

Not at all: 2.3% A little bit: 2.3% Somewhat: 12.6%

Help: 34.5%

3338

*

Help a Great Deal: 46%

4. Did doctor ask any questions that were too personal? Yes 9.2% No 90.8%

5. Do you feel doctor treated you with respect?

Somewhat: 1.2%

With respect: 35.6%

With a Great Deal of Respect: 63.2%

6. Did the doctor appear to be concerned about your family?

Not at all 4.9%
A little Bit: 2.4%
Somewhat: 8.5%
Concerned: 42.7%

Very Concerned: 41.5%

7. Do you think it would be helpful to come back for another visit

with this doctor? Not at all 1.2%

A Little Bit 2.4% Somewhat: 3.6%

Helpful: 43.4%

Very Helpful: 49.4%

8. Do you plan to return for a follow-up visit if recommended?

Yes 97.6% No: 2.4%

PATIENT QUESTIONNAIRE

Date: Interpreter				Faculty: Resident:		
. What w	What was the reason you came to see the doctor today?					
. What d today?	id you expect t	o happen whe	n you came to s	ee the doctor		
How sa	tisfied were yo	u with this	visit?			
1 OT AT ALL SATISFIED	2 JUST A LITTLE SATISFIED		4 QUITE A BIT SATISFIED			
How ca	ring did the do	ctor seem to	you?			
1 NOT AT ALL	2 JUST A LITTLE	3 SOMEWHAT	4 QUITE A BIT	5 A GREAT DEAL		
. How much	ch do you think	talking with	the doctor wil	l help your pr		
1 NOT AT ALL	2 JUST A LITTLE	3 SOMEWHAT	4 QUITE A BIT	5 A GREAT DEAL		
. What d	id the doctor t	ell you to d	o about your p	coblem?		
	e doctor ask you YES , what were the	NO				
. With he	ow much respect	did you fee	l the doctor t	reated you?		
1 NOT AT ALL	2 JUST A LITTLE	3 SOMEWHAT	4 QUITE A BIT	5 A GREAT ÷ DEAL		
. How cor	corned about we	um famile di	4 44 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4			
	ncerned about yo	our ramily di	d the doctor a	ppear to be?		
1 NOT AT ALL	2 JUST A LITTLE	3 SOMEWHAT	4 QUITE A BIT	opear to be? 5 A GREAT DEAL		
1 NOT AT ALL 0. How hel	2 JUST A	3 SOMEWHAT nk it would	4 QUITE A BIT	5 A GREAT DEAL		
1 NOT AT ALL 0. How hel visit w	2 JUST A LITTLE pful do you thi	3 SOMEWHAT nk it would	4 QUITE A BIT	5 A GREAT DEAL		
1 NOT AT ALL 0. How hel visit v 1 OT AT ALL HELPFUL	2 JUST A LITTLE pful do you thi vith this doctor 2 JUST A LITTLE	3 SOMEWHAT nk it would ?? 3 SOMEWHAT HELPFUL	QUITE A BIT be to come back QUITE A BIT HELPFUL	5 A GREAT DEAL for another 5 VERY HELPFUL		

EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE Counseling Clinic Sessions

- 1. What are your goals for counseling clinic sessions?
- What aspect of the sessions do you find most valuable?
- 3. What aspect of the sessions did you find least valuable?
- Please rate the quality of exposure to skills not acquired in other aspects of your medical training. (Circle one)

1 2 3 4 5 Very poor Poor Average Good Excellent

 Please rate the quality of feedback received about the psychosocial aspects of your performance as a physician/counselor.

1 2 3 4 5 Very poor Poor Average Good Excellent

6. How useful are counseling clinic sessions in terms of your overall educational experience? (Circle one)

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Slightly Moderately Very Extremely

7. To what extent do you feel the sessions facilitate your own personal growth? (Circle one)

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Slightly Moderately Very Extremely

8. To what extent are the sessions successful in integrating psychosocial aspects and medical treatment? (Circle one)

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Slightly Moderately Very Extremely

Please rate your level of competency in the following psychosocial skills:

1	2 some	3 ademiate	4 good	5 excellent			
	mastery						
Intervi facilit	ewing skills, ation)	(attending	, listeni	ng,			
	to think inte aspects of pa		about ps	ychosocial a	and		
Ability	to develop a	caring doct	or-patien	t relations	hip		
	s of own int oatient intera		mics as	significant	in		
Ability family	to intervene t	therapeutica	ally with	patient and,	/or		
Sensitivity and knowledge of cultural factors affecting patient health care							
Brief counseling skills							
a.	problem ident	ification					
b.	good setting	. *					
c.	developement	of an inter	vention				
d.	crisis interv	ention					

Please indicate on the following chart, by filling in the appropriate #, the extent to which you are comfortable diagnosing and treating the following:

1 2 3 4 5
Not at all Slightly Moderately Very Extremely

Comfortable making identification/ diagnosis	Comfortable Treating/ Managing Problem
	
	
	
	
. ,	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	identification/ diagnosis